

questions why defendant's counsel is so interested in individuals and events that have a tangential relationship to any potential bad faith activity in this case.

Subsequent motions repeat variants on the same allegations, whether they are titled "Motion for Sanctions" or "Response in Opposition to Motion." (*See, e.g.*, ECF Nos. 21, 25, 26, 30, 32, 60, 61, 62, 63, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 79, 80.)

At this time, the court is otherwise engaged and is unable to immediately schedule a hearing on the motions. The court believes that the current motions on the table are sufficient to articulate both sides' alleged grievances. Moreover, the court does not believe that further motions or responses would serve to meaningfully advance the litigation at hand. Therefore, plaintiff and defendant are hereby **ENJOINED** from filing a motion of any kind without this court's prior approval. The court will set a hearing on all pending motions in the near future.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 11th day of September, 2013.

s/William C. O'Kelley

William C. O'Kelley
Senior United States District Judge